

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,572	03/31/2004	Albert H. Mitchell JR.	CIS0215US	6923
33031 7590 1209/2008 CAMPBELL STEPHENSON LLP 11401 CENTURY OAKS TERRACE BLDG. H, SUITE 250			EXAMINER	
			MERED, HABTE	
AUSTIN, TX 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/814.572 MITCHELL ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit HABTE MERED 2416 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/02/2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10.13-20.23-30.33-45 and 51-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10.13-20.23-30.33-45 and 51-60 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsherson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/814,572 Page 2

Art Unit: 2416

### DETAILED ACTION

#### Response to Amendment

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/02/2008 has been entered.

- Claims 11, 12, 21, 22, 31, 32, and 46-50 are currently cancelled. Claims 55-60 are new.
- Claims 1-10, 13-20, 23-30, 33-45, and 51-60 are pending. Claims 1, 15, 25, 35, and 38
  are the base independent claims. All of the base independent claims are currently amended.

### Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed on 9/02/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. In the Remarks, Applicant argues that neither the primary reference nor the secondary reference teach the currently amended limitation recited in all independent claims. Applicant further states what was cited by Examiner to teach this limitation is incorrect without rendering any explanation.

Further the limitation in question recites in all the independent claims "in response to said detecting, maintaining a communication channel between said downstream portion of said communications network and said upstream portion of said communications network <u>by</u> disabling a port of said network element coupled to a second link between said network element

Art Unit: 2416

and a downstream portion of said communications network, wherein said second link is associated with said virtual network, and said port of said network element is disabled as a result of said port being associated with said virtual network."

Examiner respectfully disagrees. First it is the position of the Examiner that the newly added limitation specifically reciting "....disabling a port of said network element coupled to a second link between said network element and a downstream portion of said communications network, ..." is adequately taught by the primary reference, Saksio'390, as detailed in the rejection below which cites Saksio'390 abstract and paragraphs 18 and 37. Further, Applicant's blanket statement that the citation from the primary reference of paragraph 28 does not state the limitation is inadequate as Applicant needs to explain why the cited sections and associated explanation from the Examiner does not apply.

6. Applicant argues the primary reference, Saksio'390 and secondary reference, Gai'491 cannot be combined because Saksio'390 teaches away from Gai'491 because Saksio'390 in paragraph 14 discusses the use of STP is not suitable for environments requiring fast recovery and Gai'491 teaches use of STP for rapidly configuring computer networks.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. First Gai'491 admits recalculation of STP is time consuming as stated in Column 4, Lines 51-53. Second Gai'491 offers a solution without recalculating the STP during a failure by simply switching to the backup port or link as indicated in Column 4, Lines 15-25 and 60-67 and further in Column 6, Lines 45-48 it states Gai'491 provides fast recovery without using Spanning Tree recalculation by switching to the backup port just like Saksio'390's teachings during a failure. Hence Applicant's position of Saksio'390 teaches away from Gai'491 is not well founded and the cited references are readily combinable.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,572 Page 4

Art Unit: 2416

7. Finally Examiner wants to clear state that the need for Gai'491 is based on teaching virtual network. However virtual network is such a broad term that one can even say Saksio'390 teaches a virtual network if one uses the definition of virtual network given by Newton's Telecom Dictionary, 16th Edition, on page 928 that simply defines such a network as a network that is programmed and created on as needed basis and can even be a virtual private network. Definitely Saksio'390's network meets this definition and limits the need of a secondary reference like Gai'491.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-10, 13-20, 23-30, 33-45, and 51-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakiso (US Pub. 2004/0105390) in view of Gai et al (US 6, 535, 491 B2).

Regarding claim 1, Saksio'390 discloses a method comprising: detecting a failure of a first link (Figure 1, Failure 2), wherein the first link (Figure 1, LSW7 – also referred to as critical up-link in paragraph 28) is between a network element (Figure 1, LAN-Switch SW7) and an upstream portion of a communications network (towards R1 and R2 is upstream direction where as towards Host1...9 is downstream — See paragraph 27); and in response to the detecting (link down state is propagated down the chain all the way to the hosts as

Art Unit: 2416

stated in paragraphs 18, 28, and 29. Pretty much detection is maintained by sending messages indicating link up state or link-down state),

maintaining a communications channel between the downstream portion of the communications network and the upstream portion of the communications network by disabling a port of the network element coupled to a (Saksio'390 discloses when failure occurs on the first critical link, LSW7, all LAN ports and/or corresponding links are disabled by being declared as being as non-functional by propagating link down state messages) second link (Figure 1, LSW 1 and L1<sub>1</sub> are secondary links that connect host to critical link) between the network element (Figure 1, LAN-Switch SW7) and a downstream portion of the communications network (when the failure is detected the Host 1 switches the active L1<sub>1</sub> to the stand-by link L1<sub>2</sub> see paragraph 26 to maintain communication between downstream Host 1 and upstream represented by Edge Router R2. See also paragraph 28.).

Saksio'390 fails to disclose a method of detecting a failure of a link wherein the first link is associated with a virtual network and also the second link is associated with the virtual network and the port of the network element is disabled as a result of the port being associated with the virtual network.

However, the above mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Gai'491. In particular, Gai'491 discloses a method of detecting a failure of a link (Gai'491 shows in Column 5, 10-15 that a link failure is detected and as a result reconfigures the ports to bi-pass the failure situation. See also Figure 4) wherein the first link (Figure 1, elements 128) is associated with a virtual network (Gai'491 discloses that Figure 1 is a Virtual LAN in Column 15, Lines 48-65) and also the second link (Figure 1, links

Art Unit: 2416

connecting servers and hosts to the LANs) is associated with the virtual network (i.e. Figure 1) and the port (port 3 of switch 114 - see column 128-25) of the network element (i.e. access switch 114 of Figure 1) is disabled as a result of the port being associated (in Fig. 3D and Fig. 3E in block 356 and 358 when ever a port is disabled or enabled the change is reflected by running a spanning tree state machine to correct implications in the virtual network) with the virtual network (Figure 1 is a Virtual LAN as stated in Column 15, Lines 48-65).

In view of the above, having the method of Saksio'390 and then given the well established teaching of Gai'491, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method of Saksio'390 as taught by Gai'491, since Gai'491 clearly states in Column 15, Lines 48-50 that the benefit of using virtual networks is to provide network groupings and segregation based on functionalities.

Regarding claim 2, Saksio'390 discloses a method wherein the downstream portion of the communications network comprises a redundantly linked network element. (See Figure 1, Hosts 1...9 is multi-homed with active and stand-by links).

Regarding claim 3, Saksio'390 discloses a method wherein the redundantly-linked network element comprises a protocol stack including a first protocol stack layer and a second protocol stack layer, the first protocol stack layer is associated with one or more applications, and the disabling comprises notifying the second protocol stack layer of the failure. (See Figures 2a and 2b - the protocol stacks involved are the MAC and PHY layers)

Regarding claim 4, Saksio'390 discloses a method wherein the network element comprises a primary network element (Figure 1, LAN-SW1), the method further comprises enabling a third link between the redundantly-linked network element (Figure 1, Host 1) and a

Art Unit: 2416

secondary network element (Figure 1, LAN-SW2), and the secondary network element is coupled to the upstream portion of the communications network using a fourth link (Figure 1, LSW2). (See also paragraphs 26-29).

Regarding claim 5, Saksio'390 discloses a method wherein the redundantly linked network element comprises a multi-homed end station (See Figure 1, all Hosts are indeed multi-homed end station).

Regarding claim 6, Saksio'390 discloses a method wherein the network element comprises a data link layer network element. (See Paragraphs 6 and 18)

Regarding claim 7, Saksio'390 discloses a method wherein the data link layer network element comprises an Ethernet switch. (See Figures 1 and 2a – the LAN Switch is an Ethernet switch)

Regarding claim 8, Saksio 390 discloses a method wherein the upstream portion of the communications network comprises a network layer network element. (Figure 1 – R1 and R2 are routers and are network layer network elements)

Regarding claim 9, Saksio'390 discloses a method wherein the disabling comprises: disabling a plurality of links between the network element and a plurality of redundantly-linked network elements. (Due to Failure 2 links LSW1, LSW3 and LSW4 are disabled – see paragraph 28)

Regarding claim 10, Saksio'390 discloses a method wherein the disabling comprises: disabling a link of a plurality of links between the network element and a plurality of redundantly-linked network elements. (Due to Failure 2 links LSW1, LSW3 and LSW4 are disabled – see paragraph 28)

Art Unit: 2416

Regarding claim 13, Saksio'390 discloses a method wherein the disabling comprises: disabling the port of the network element coupled to (Saksio'390 discloses when failure occurs on the first critical link, LSW7, all LAN ports and/or corresponding links are disabled by being declared as being as non-functional by propagating link down state messages) the second link between the network element and the downstream portion of the communications network within a period of time substantially less than or equal to 50 milliseconds of the detecting. (See Paragraphs 14 and 16)

Regarding claim 14, Saksio'390 discloses a method wherein the disabling comprises: disabling the port of the network element coupled to (Saksio'390 discloses when failure occurs on the first critical link, LSW7, all LAN ports and/or corresponding links are disabled by being declared as being as non-functional by propagating link down state messages) the second link between said network element and said downstream portion of the communications network within a period of time substantially less than or equal to 2 seconds of the detecting. (See Paragraphs 14 and 16 and given that Saksio'390 teaches the same method the same performance has to be produced)

Regarding claim 15, Saksio'390 discloses an apparatus (See Figure 1) comprising: means for detecting (link down state is propagated down the chain all the way to the hosts as stated in paragraphs 18, 28, and 29. Pretty much detection is maintained by sending messages indicating link up state or link-down state) a failure of a first link (Figure 1, Failure 2), wherein the first link (Figure 1, LSW7 – also referred to as critical up-link in paragraph 28) is between a network element (Figure 1, LAN-Switch SW7) and an upstream portion of a communications network (towards R1 and R2 is upstream direction where as

Art Unit: 2416

towards Host1...9 is downstream — See paragraph 27); and means for maintaining a communications channel between the downstream portion of the communications network and the upstream portion of the communications network by disabling a port of the network element coupled to a (Saksio'390 discloses when failure occurs on the first critical link, LSW7, all LAN ports and/or corresponding links are disabled by being declared as being as nonfunctional by propagating link down state messages) a second link (Figure 1, LSW 1 and L11 are secondary links that connect host to critical link) between the network element (Figure 1, LAN-Switch SW7) and a downstream portion of the communications network (when the failure is detected the Host 1 switches the active L11 to the stand-by link L12 see paragraph 26. See also paragraph 28).

Saksio'390 fails to disclose an apparatus for detecting a failure of a link wherein the first link is associated with a virtual network and also the second link is associated with the virtual network and the port of the network element is disabled as a result of the port being associated with the virtual network.

However, the above mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Gai'491. In particular, Gai'491 discloses an apparatus for detecting a failure of a link (Gai'491 shows in Column 5, 10-15 that a link failure is detected and as a result reconfigures the ports to bi-pass the failure situation. See also Figure 4) wherein the first link (Figure 1, elements 128) is associated with a virtual network (Gai'491 discloses that Figure 1 is a Virtual LAN in Column 15, Lines 48-65) and also the second link (Figure 1, links connecting servers and hosts to the LANs) is associated with the virtual network (i.e. Fig. 1) and the port (port 3 of switch 114 - see column 128-25) of the network element (i.e. access

Art Unit: 2416

switch 114 of Figure 1) is disabled as a result of the port being associated (in Fig. 3D and Fig. 3E in block 356 and 358 when ever a port is disabled or enabled the change is reflected by running a spanning tree state machine to correct implications in the virtual network) with the virtual network (Figure 1 is a Virtual LAN as stated in Column 15, Lines 48-65).

In view of the above, having the apparatus of Saksio'390 and then given the well established teaching of Gai'491, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Saksio'390 as taught by Gai'491, since Gai'491 clearly states in Column 15, Lines 48-50 that the benefit of using virtual networks is to provide network groupings and segregation based on functionalities.

Regarding claim 16, it is noted that the limitations of claim 16 corresponds to that of claim 2 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 2 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 17, it is noted that the limitations of claim 17 corresponds to that of claim 3 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 3 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 18, it is noted that the limitations of claim 18 corresponds to that of claim 4 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 4 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding **claim 19**, it is noted that the limitations of claim 19 corresponds to that of claim 5 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 5 as set forth in the rejection above.

Art Unit: 2416

Regarding claim 20, it is noted that the limitations of claim 20 corresponds to that of claim 10 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 10 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 23, it is noted that the limitations of claim 23 corresponds to that of claim 13 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 13 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 24, it is noted that the limitations of claim 24 corresponds to that of claim 14 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 14 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 25, Saksio'390 discloses a machine readable storage medium having a plurality of instructions executable by a machine embodied therein (See Figures 2A and 2B showing implementation of the switch and host and in paragraphs 33 and 36 Saksio'390 describes the medium the instruction is stored), wherein the plurality of instructions wherein executed cause the machine to perform a method comprising: detecting (link down state is propagated down the chain all the way to the hosts as stated in paragraphs 18, 28, and 29. Pretty much detection is maintained by sending messages indicating link up state or link-down state) a failure of a first link (Figure 1, Failure 2), wherein the first link (Figure 1, LSW7 – also referred to as critical up-link in paragraph 28) is between a network element (Figure 1, LAN-Switch SW7) and an upstream portion of a communications network (towards R1 and R2 is upstream direction where as towards Host1...9 is downstream — See paragraph 27); and in response to the detecting, maintaining a communications channel between the downstream portion of the communications network and the upstream portion of the

Art Unit: 2416

communications network by disabling a port of the network element coupled to a (Saksio'390 discloses when failure occurs on the first critical link, LSW7, all LAN ports and/or corresponding links are disabled by being declared as being as non-functional by propagating link down state messages) second link (Figure 1, LSW 1 and L1<sub>1</sub> are secondary links that connect host to critical link) between the network element (Figure 1, LAN-Switch SW7) and a downstream portion of the communications network (when the failure is detected the Host 1 switches the active L1<sub>1</sub> to the stand-by link L1<sub>2</sub> see paragraph 26. See also paragraph 28).

Saksio'390 fails to disclose detecting a failure of a link wherein the first link is associated with a virtual network and also the second link is associated with the virtual network and the port of the network element is disabled as a result of the port being associated with the virtual network.

However, the above mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Gai'491. In particular, Gai'491 discloses detecting a failure of a link (Gai'491 shows in Column 5, 10-15 that a link failure is detected and as a result reconfigures the ports to bi-pass the failure situation. See also Figure 4) wherein the first link (Figure 1, elements 128) is associated with a virtual network (Gai'491 discloses that Figure 1 is a Virtual LAN in Column 15, Lines 48-65) and also the second link (Figure 1, links connecting servers and hosts to the LANs) is associated with the virtual network (i.e. Fig. 1) and the port (port 3 of switch 114 - see column 128-25) of the network element (i.e. access switch 114 of Figure 1) is disabled as a result of the port being associated (in Fig. 3D and Fig. 3E in block 356 and 358 when ever a port is disabled or enabled the change is reflected by running a spanning tree

Art Unit: 2416

state machine to correct implications in the virtual network) with the virtual network (Figure 1 is a Virtual LAN as stated in Column 15, Lines 48-65).

In view of the above, having the method stored in a machine-readable storage medium of Saksio'390 and then given the well established teaching of Gai'491, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method stored in a machine-readable storage medium of Saksio'390 as taught by Gai'491, since Gai'491 clearly states in Column 15, Lines 48-50 that the benefit of using virtual networks is to provide network groupings and segregation based on functionalities.

Regarding claim 26, it is noted that the limitations of claim 26 corresponds to that of claim 2 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 2 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 27, it is noted that the limitations of claim 27 corresponds to that of claim 3 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 3 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 28, it is noted that the limitations of claim 28 corresponds to that of claim 4 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 4 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding **claim 29**, it is noted that the limitations of claim 29 corresponds to that of claim 5 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 5 as set forth in the rejection above.

Art Unit: 2416

Regarding claim 30, it is noted that the limitations of claim 30 corresponds to that of claim 10 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 10 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 33, it is noted that the limitations of claim 33 corresponds to that of claim 13 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 13 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 34, it is noted that the limitations of claim 34 corresponds to that of claim 14 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 14 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claims 35, Saksio'390 discloses a data processing system comprising: a redundantly-linked end station (See Hosts 1...9 which is multi-homed); and a network element (Figure 1, LSW7) configured to detect a failure of a first link, wherein the first link is (Figure 1, LSW7) between the network element and an upstream portion of a communications network (towards R1 and R2 is upstream direction where as towards Host1...9 is downstream --See paragraph 27), and in response to the failure, maintain a communications channel between the redundantly-linked end station and the upstream portion of the communications network (Figure 1, LAN-Switch SW7) and a downstream portion of the communications network (when the failure is detected the Host 1 switches the active L1<sub>1</sub> to the stand-by link L1<sub>2</sub> see paragraph 26. See also paragraph 28) by disabling by disabling a port of the network element coupled to (Saksio'390 discloses when failure occurs on the first critical link, LSW7, all LAN ports and/or corresponding links are disabled by being declared as being as nonfunctional by propagating link down state messages) a second link (Figure 1, LSW1 and

Art Unit: 2416

L1<sub>1</sub> are secondary links that connect host to critical link) between the network element and the redundantly-linked end station to maintain a communications channel between the redundantly-linked end station and the upstream portion of the communications network in response to the failure (See also Paragraphs 26, 27, and 28).

Saksio'390 fails to disclose a system of detecting a failure of a link wherein the first link is associated with a virtual network and also the second link is associated with the virtual network and the port of the network element is disabled as a result of the port being associated with the virtual network.

However, the above mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Gai'491. In particular, Gai'491 discloses a method of detecting a failure of a link (Gai'491 shows in Column 5, 10-15 that a link failure is detected and as a result reconfigures the ports to bi-pass the failure situation. See also Figure 4) wherein the first link (Figure 1, elements 128) is associated with a virtual network (Gai'491 discloses that Figure 1 is a Virtual LAN in Column 15, Lines 48-65) and also the second link (Figure 1, links connecting servers and hosts to the LANs) is associated with the virtual network (i.e. Fig. 1) and the port (port 3 of switch 114 - see column 128-25) of the network element (i.e. access switch 114 of Figure 1) is disabled as a result of the port being associated (in Fig. 3D and Fig. 3E in block 356 and 358 when ever a port is disabled or enabled the change is reflected by running a spanning tree state machine to correct implications in the virtual network) with the virtual network (Figure 1 is a Virtual LAN as stated in Column 15, Lines 48-65).

In view of the above, having the system of Saksio'390 and then given the well established teaching of Gai'491, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2416

art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Saksio'390 as taught by

Gai'491, since Gai'491 clearly states in Column 15, Lines 48-50 that the benefit of using virtual
networks is to provide network groupings and segregation based on functionalities.

Regarding claims 36, Saksio'390 discloses a data processing system wherein the network element comprises a primary network element (Figure 1, LAN-SW1), the redundantly-linked end station (Host 1) is configured to enable a third link (Figure 1, L1<sub>2</sub>) between the redundantly-linked end station and a secondary network element (Figure 1, LAN-SW2), and the secondary network element is coupled to the upstream portion of the communications network using a fourth link (Figure 1, LAN-SW2). Regarding claim 37, Saksio'390 discloses a data processing system wherein

the network element comprises an Ethernet switch. (See Figure 2a and all the LAN switches in Figure 1 are Ethernet switches)

Regarding claim 38, Saksio discloses a data processing system comprising:

a redundantly-linked end station (See Hosts 1...9 which is multi-homed);

a primary network element (Figure 1, LAN-SW1), wherein the primary network element is
coupled to an upstream portion of a communications network using a first link (Figure 1,
LSW1), the primary network element is coupled to the redundantly-linked
end station using a second link (Figure 1, L1<sub>1</sub>) and the primary network element is configured to
detect a failure of the first link (Figure 1, Failure 1), and
disable a port of the primary network element coupled to (Saksio'390 discloses when failure
occurs on the first critical link, LSW7, all LAN ports and/or corresponding links are
disabled by being declared as being as non-functional by propagating link down state

Art Unit: 2416

messages) the second link to maintain a communications channel between the redundantlylinked end station and the upstream portion of the communications network in response to the failure (See paragraphs 26 and 27); and a secondary network element (Figure 1, LAN-SW2), wherein the secondary network element is coupled to the redundantly-linked end station using a third link (Figure 1, L1<sub>2</sub>). (See Paragraphs 26, 27, and 28)

Saksio'390 fails to disclose a system of detecting a failure of a link wherein the first link is associated with a virtual network and also the second link is associated with the virtual network and the port of the network element is disabled as a result of the port being associated with the virtual network.

However, the above mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Gai'491. In particular, Gai'491 discloses a method of detecting a failure of a link (Gai'491 shows in Column 5, 10-15 that a link failure is detected and as a result reconfigures the ports to bi-pass the failure situation. See also Figure 4) wherein the first link (Figure 1, elements 128) is associated with a virtual network (Gai'491 discloses that Figure 1 is a Virtual LAN in Column 15, Lines 48-65) and also the second link (Figure 1, links connecting servers and hosts to the LANs) is associated with the virtual network(i.e. Fig. 1) and the port (port 3 of switch 114 - see column 128-25) of the network element (i.e. access switch 114 of Figure 1) is disabled as a result of the port being associated (in Fig. 3D and Fig. 3E in block 356 and 358 when ever a port is disabled or enabled the change is reflected by running a spanning tree state machine to correct implications in the virtual network) with the virtual network (Figure 1 is a Virtual LAN as stated in Column 15, Lines 48-65).

Art Unit: 2416

In view of the above, having the system of Saksio'390 and then given the well established teaching of Gai'491, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the system of Saksio'390 as taught by Gai'491, since Gai'491 clearly states in Column 15, Lines 48-50 that the benefit of using virtual networks is to provide network groupings and segregation based on functionalities.

Regarding claim 39, Saksio'390 discloses a data processing system, wherein the redundantly-linked end station (Figure 1, Host 1) is configured to enable the third link (Figure 1, L1<sub>2</sub>), and the secondary network element (Figure 1, LAN-SW2) is coupled to the upstream portion of the communication network using a fourth link (Figure 1, LSW2)

Regarding claims 40, Saksio'390 discloses a data processing system wherein the primary network element comprises an Ethernet switch. (See Figure 2a and all the LAN switches in Figure 1 are Ethernet switches)

Regarding claim 41, the combination of Saksio'390 and Gai'491 discloses a method wherein the second link is a downstream link that is individually predetermined by a configuration interface. (Saksio'390 already teaches the second link is a downstream link. Similarly Gai'491 in Figure 1 shows that the secondary downstream link 128 connected to port 3 of switch 114 is disabled and consequently one of the back-up ports 2 or 4 will be activated as detailed in Gai'491's Column 11, Lines 30-46. The configuration interface of the access switch 114 of Figure 1 is shown in Figure 2 collectively as Rapid Reconfiguration Entity 234. The Rapid Reconfiguration Entity 234 of Figure 2 chooses the pre-selected backup port and the link associated with the port to be active upon detection of the failure of port in the forward state. Gai'491 teaches at the minimum the ability to select a link to

Art Unit: 2416

disable and/or enable using the Rapid Reconfiguration Entity as detailed in Column 12, Lines 8-27 and shown in detail in Figure 3D.)

Regarding claim 42, it is noted that the limitations of claim 42 corresponds to that of claim 41 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 41 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding **claim 43**, it is noted that the limitations of claim 43 corresponds to that of claim 41 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 41 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding **claim 44**, it is noted that the limitations of claim 44 corresponds to that of claim 41 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 41 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 45, it is noted that the limitations of claim 45 corresponds to that of claim 41 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 41 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 51, the combination of Saksio'390 and Gai'491 discloses a method wherein the disabling the port of the network element coupled to (Saksio'390 discloses when failure occurs on the first critical link, LSW7, all LAN ports and/or corresponding links are disabled by being declared as being as non-functional by propagating link down state messages) the second link on-demand in response to analyzing a plurality of system attributes (Gai'491 shows in Column 8, Lines 48-52 that the Administrator can enter on-demand commands in the switches to select links and configure

Art Unit: 2416

switches with blocked and forwarding ports in response to various conditions including analyzing system messages like the BPDUs as detailed in Column 11, Lines 48-60).

Regarding claim 52, it is noted that the limitations of claim 52 corresponds to that of claim 51 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 51 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 53, it is noted that the limitations of claim 53 corresponds to that of claim 51 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 51 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding **claim 54**, it is noted that the limitations of claim 54 corresponds to that of claim 51 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 51 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 55, it is noted that the limitations of claim 55 corresponds to that of claim 51 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 51 as set forth in the rejection above.

Claims 56-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saksio'390
in view of Gai'491 as applied to claims 1, 15, 25, 35, and 38 respectively above, and further in
view of Hamami (US 5, 959, 972).

Regarding claim 56, the combination of Saksio 390 and Gai 491 fail to disclose a method further comprising: the redundantly-linked network element failing back to the second link when the first link and the second link become operational again.

However, the above mentioned claimed limitations are well known in the art as evidenced by Hamami'972. In particular, Hamami'972 discloses a method further comprising:

Art Unit: 2416

the redundantly-linked network element (Figures 1 and 2, switches #1 and #2) failing back to the second link (i.e. main link 60 connecting port 1 of each switch Column 5, Lines 10-20) when the first link (backup link 62 connecting port 2 of each switch) and the second link become operational again (Hamami'972 discloses after the main link 60 of a redundantly linked switch 1 or 2 fails then traffic is switched to the backup link 62 of switch 1 or 2.

Once the main link 60 is back the traffic is switched back to link 60 from link 62 - see for details Figs. 1 and 2 and Column 4, Lines 39-50 and Column 5, Lines 10-20).

In view of the above, having the method based on the combination of Saksio'390 and Gai'491 and then given the well established teaching of Hamami'972, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the method based on the combination of Saksio'390 and Gai'491 as taught by Hamami'972, since Hamami'972 clearly states in Column 2, Lines 20-22 and Column 3, Lines 55-60 that the benefit of the modification is to provide high reliability connectivity between network elements.

Regarding claim 57, it is noted that the limitations of claim 56 corresponds to that of claim 56 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 56 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding claim 58, it is noted that the limitations of claim 56 corresponds to that of claim 56 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 56 as set forth in the rejection above.

Regarding **claim 59**, it is noted that the limitations of claim 56 corresponds to that of claim 56 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 56 as set forth in the rejection above.

Art Unit: 2416

Regarding claim 60, it is noted that the limitations of claim 56 corresponds to that of claim 56 as discussed above, please see the Examiner's comments with respect to claim 56 as set forth in the rejection above.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HABTE MERED whose telephone number is (571)272-6046. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Aung S. Moe can be reached on 571 272 7314. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aung S. Moe/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416 /Habte Mered/ Examiner, Art Unit 2416